

PII: S0146-6380(98)00070-9

Analysis of aliphatic biopolymers using thermochemolysis with tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH) and gas chromatography—mass spectrometry

JOSE C. DEL RÍO1* and PATRICK G. HATCHER1,2

¹Department of Geosciences, 209 Academic Projects Building, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, U.S.A. and ²Fuel Science Program, Department of Material Science and Engineering, 209 Academic Projects Building, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, U.S.A.

Abstract—Selected aliphatic biopolyesters (cutins, cuticles and a suberin) isolated from different plants have been analyzed using thermochemolysis with tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH). This method consists of a high-temperature saponification/transesterification, and yields methyl esters of fatty acids and the methyl ethers of alcohols, which are subsequently analyzed by gas chromatography and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. The main compounds produced from the analyzed samples correspond to the methyl derivatives of long-chain fatty acids, hydroxy fatty acids and α,ω -alkanedioic acids. The composition of the released compounds are similar to those reported in the literature using different depolymerization methods. The main advantage of the procedure is that it is easily performed in glass tubes with very low amounts of sample and without additional derivatization steps prior to gas chromatographic analysis because the products are methylated *in situ*. The method also avoids the laborious and time consuming sample preparation of extractive methods and the use of large amounts of solvents. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved

Key words-cutin, cuticle, suberin, fatty acids, TMAH/thermochemolysis

INTRODUCTION

Because cutin and suberin play a key mediating role between higher plants and the environment, their chemical composition and structure have been the subject of numerous investigations (Kolattukudy, 1984; Holloway, 1982; Walton, 1990). Cutin, a biopolyester composed of interesterified hydroxy fatty acids, is found as an external protective barrier on aereal plant surfaces (Kolattukudy, 1980, 1984). Cutin is composed primarily of hydroxylated fatty acids with a high proportion of mid-chain oxygenated acids (Espelié et al., 1979, 1980). The monomer composition of cutin varies among different plant species. In general, angiosperm cutins can be broadly classified into three categories according to the chemical lengths of the predominant fatty acid monomers, that is, a C₁₆ type, a C₁₈ type and a mixed C₁₆ and C₁₈ type. The cutins of the gymnosperms and other lower plants generally lack the C₁₈ monomer acids (Holloway, 1982). Suberin, a similar biopolymer, serves as a protective barrier for sub-

1990; Opsahl and Benner, 1995).

(Kolattukudy, 1978).

terranean surfaces of plants and its structure is thought to consist of aliphatic polyester domains,

composed of aliphatic monomers of long-chain

 $(C_{16}-C_{24})$ ω -hydroxy fatty acids and α,ω -dicar-

boxylic acids, covalently attached to aromatic

domains which are in turn attached to the cell wall

convert the alcohol groups into their trimethylsilyl

sources in natural environments (Goñi and Hedges,

Partial depolymerization of the aliphatic biopo-

However, despite all the investigations, the intramolecular structure of cutin and suberin is only poorly understood and a detailed characterization of their chemical composition is important for a physiological or chemotaxonomical point of view. Indeed, cutins and suberins are unique to vascular plants and have already been used as a convenient mean for determining the flow of terrestrially derived organic matter in marine environments, and also to discriminate between different vascular plant

lyesters has been accomplished using various chemical and enzymatic methods, including hydrogenolysis with LiAlH₄, hydrolysis with alcoholic KOH or HCl, and enzymatic degradation with cutinases (Holloway, 1982; Kolattukudy, 1984; Walton, 1990). The identifications of products of the reactions often requires further derivatization to

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. Permanent address: Instituto de Recursos Naturales y Agrobiologia de Sevilla, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientificas, P.O. Box 1052, 41080-Seville, Spain. Fax: +34-5-4624002; E-mail: delrio@irnase.csic.es

or acetyl derivatives and the carboxyl groups into their respective methyl esters, prior to analysis by capillary gas chromatography.

In a number of papers (Holzer et al., 1988; Dworzanski et al., 1990, 1991; Challinor, 1996) natural esters were converted to their respective methyl esters by tetramethyl- or other tetra-alkylammonium hydroxides under pyrolytic conditions. Dworzanski et al. (1990, 1991) used the "pyrolytic methylation" with tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH) for the generation of chemotaxonomically characteristic profiles of fatty acid methyl esters from different bacterial cells. It was demonstrated that the methyl esters were formed by transesterification reactions (via intermediate tetramethylammonium hydroxide salts) and were not formed by methylation of pyrolytically generated fatty acids. Holzer et al. (1989) used a related quaternary amine, trimethylanilinium hydroxide to obtain fatty acid profiles of bacterial cells, while Butte (1983) used trimethylsulphonium hydroxide (TMSH) as a transesterification reagent. Most of the products corresponded to those formed by hydrolysis and quantitative methylation of the fatty acid moieties with partial methylation of the aliphatic hydroxyl groups.

Another technique for analysis of these biopolymers, pyrolysis in the presence of TMAH, has been particularly effective in transforming macromolecular material, composed of esters and phenolic compounds, to monomers by hydrolysis and subsequent alkylation. The volatile monomers are then analyzed quantitatively by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Challinor (1989, 1991a,b) first introduced this technique for the simultaneous pyrolysis and methylation of phenolic polymers. Since then, it has been applied to the structural characterization of bio- and geomacromolecules such as lignins (Martín et al., 1995b; Clifford et al., 1995), humic materials (Saiz-Jiménez et al., 1993, 1994; Saiz-Jiménez, 1994a,b; Hatcher and Clifford, 1994; del Río et al., 1994; Chiavari et al., 1994; Martín et al., 1994, 1995a; Fabbri et al., 1996), whole soils, (Schulten and Sorge, 1995; Schulten et al., 1996), asphaltenes and kerogens (Kralert et al., 1995; del Río et al., 1996b) and natural and fossil resins and resinites (Anderson and Winans, 1991; Clifford and Hatcher, 1995). In particular, several biopolyesters such as the cutin from tomato fruit and the suberin from potato tubers have already been successfully analyzed by pyrolysis/TMAH (de Leeuw and Baas, 1993; González-Vila et al., 1996). The main products formed were the methyl derivatives of fatty acids and hydroxylated fatty acids. Challinor (1996) also used the pyrolysis/TMAH for the rapid profiling of fatty acids in a variety of vegetable oils and animal fats.

Several authors (de Leeuw and Baas, 1993; Hatcher and Clifford, 1994; Martín et al., 1994;

Challinor, 1995; del Río et al., 1996a) have pointed out that the reaction involved in the TMAH/pyrolysis scheme is one of chemolysis rather than pyrolysis. Sub-pyrolysis temperatures have therefore been found to effectively produce a suite of products similar to that observed at higher pyrolysis temperatures (Hatcher and Clifford, 1994; Clifford et al., 1995). In a recent paper (McKinney et al., 1995), a procedure was outlined for the characterization of lignin at subpyrolysis temperatures in the presence of TMAH using sealed glass tubes. Since then, this procedure has been extended to the characterization of lignin in fresh and degraded woods (Hatcher et al., 1995), coalified woods (McKinney and Hatcher, 1996) and the highly aliphatic and resistant biopolymer cutan present in the cuticle of various plants (McKinney et al., 1996).

In this paper, we investigate the use of the sealed tube reaction with TMAH on a set of aliphatic biopolyesters (cuticle, cutin and suberin) isolated from different plants. The main advantage of this procedure is that it is conducted in a glass microreactor, a glass ampoule, as a one-step thermolysis and methylation, with the products being immediately available for analysis by gas chromatography. The procedure avoids the laborious and time-consuming sample preparation of extractive methods and does not require additional derivatization steps.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The samples selected for this study were kindly provided by Dr. Karl Espelié and consisted of the cutins isolated from tomato fruit, papaya fruit and lime fruit and the cuticles from *Agave Americana* and apple fruit. The chalazal region of the inner seed coat of grapefruit (*Citrus paradise* INSC hilum) was also selected for this study as an example of a suberin polymer. The procedures for the isolation of the different samples have already been reported (Espelié *et al.*, 1980, 1982, 1983).

The samples (0.5-1.0 mg) were weighed precisely and placed in a glass tube with a measured amount (100 ml) of TMAH (25% w/v in methanol). Triplicate samples of tomato cutin were prepared to verify the reproducibility of the method. The methanol was evaporated under vacuum and the tube sealed under vacuum. The sealed tubes were then placed in an oven at 250°C for 30 min. After cooling to room temperature, the tubes were cracked open and all inside surfaces washed out with methylene chloride $(3 \times 1 \text{ ml})$. The extracts were combined and reduced to dryness under a stream of nitrogen. The samples were then diluted with a known volume of methylene chloride (100 ml), which contained an internal standard (28.4 ng/ml of *n*-eicosane) and subsequently analyzed by capillary gas chromatography (GC) on a Hewlett Packard 5890 Series II gas chromatograph and by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) on a Kratos MS-80 RFA high-resolution gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer system. The columns used for the GC separation were $30~\text{m} \times 0.25~\text{mm}$, i.d., fused silica capillary columns (DB-5, J and W). The column was heated at 30°C/min from an injection temperature of 60°C to a temperature of 100°C , at which point the rate was slowed to 6°C/min to a final temperature of 300°C , and held for 10~min. Injector and detector were set at 300°C .

Mass spectra (electron impact mode) were obtained in the mass range from m/z 40 to 600 at a scan rate of 0.6 s/decade of mass with a 0.2 s magnet settling time added. Compounds were identified by their mass spectra and relative retention times. Identification of the monomers liberated by TMAH/thermochemolysis has been made through direct comparison of commercially available mass spectral database using computerized library searching and by analysis of mass spectral fragmentation patterns.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The chromatograms of the products released after TMAH/thermochemolysis of the different aliphatic biopolyesters selected for this study are shown in Fig. 1. The main compounds identified corresponded to the methyl derivatives of longchain fatty acids, hydroxylated fatty acids (the hydroxylated sites being converted by the TMAH to methyl ethers) and dicarboxylic acids. All these products can be rationalized as derived from alkaline hydrolysis of the polyesters into fatty acid monomers, followed by quantitative methylation of the fatty acid monomers. Since hydroxy groups become methylated after TMAH/thermochemolysis, it would not be then possible to distinguish them from naturally occurring methoxy groups; however, this is not the case since methoxy-fatty acids have not been found as forming part of the structure of cutin and suberin.

Tables 1 and 2 show the list of the identified compounds, as well as their relative distribution in the different samples. The distribution of the compounds identified is similar to that previously reported for the monomeric constituents of these samples using different depolymerization methods (Ray et al., 1995; Espelié et al., 1980, 1983; Holloway, 1973, 1982; Baker and Holloway, 1970; Baker et al., 1982). The cutin of tomato fruit was selected to study the reproducibility of the method. Three replicates were performed and the composition determined. Table 2 shows the mean values and the standard deviation of the abundances of the compounds identified in tomato fruit cutin. The average standard deviation is 8%, which indicates that this procedure is very reproducible. In the ensuing discussion, reference is made to hydroxylated fatty acids with the understanding that it is the methylated ester/ethers that are inferred to be the ones detected in our analysis.

As expected, great differences in composition were found between the suberin sample (the chalazal region of the inner seed coat of grapefruit) and the cutins and cuticles. While the suberin sample yielded mainly C16, C18, C22 and C24 monomers, the major monomers produced from the cutin and cuticles analyzed in this study have either 16 or 18 carbon atoms or both (Fig. 1), in agreement with literature. The most abundant members of the C₁₆ family identified in these samples were the methyl derivatives of hexadecanoic acid (12), ω-hydroxyhexadecanoic acid (16) and different positional isomers of dihydroxyhexadecanoic acid (19,20,21). Other monomers that can be derived by further oxidation of the common C₁₆ monomers were also detected in some cutins. This is the case of the methyl derivative of the 16-hydroxy-10-oxohexadecanoic acid (17) released from lime cutin. This agrees with prior studies of citrus fruit cutins, in which large proportions of ω -hydroxy-oxo-hexadecanoic acids were found among the hydrogenolysis and alkaline hydrolysis products of grape fruit, lime, lemon, orange, clementine and mandarine (Deas et al., 1974; Espelié et al., 1980, 1983; Baker and Procopiou, 1975). The methyl derivative of the 10-hydroxyhexadecanoic acid (14), also reported in lime cutin and other citrus especies (Ray et al., 1995), was also identified in lime fruit cutin. On the other hand, the major members identified of the C_{18} family of cutin monomers were the methyl derivatives of octadecanoic acid (15), octadecenoic acid (13), 18-hydroxyoctadec-9-enoic acid (23) and 9,10,18-trihydroxyoctadecanoic acid (30).

The C₁₆ monomers, particularly the methyl derivatives of different positional isomers of dihydroxyhexadecanoic acid, were dominant in the case of tomato fruit, papaya fruit and lime fruit cutins. Tomato fruit cutin also released trace amounts of a C₁₈ monomer, the methyl derivative of the 9,10,18trihydroxyoctadecanoic acid (30). Its presence has been shown to be confined to the early stages of fruit development, and is not usually detected in mature tomato fruit cutins (Baker et al., 1982). In the apple fruit and Agave americana cuticles, the C₁₆ and C₁₈ monomers are both dominant, but with a predominance of the C₁₆ monomers in the apple fruit cuticle (mainly the methyl derivatives of the 16-hydroxyhexadecanoic acid, 16 and 10,16dihydroxy-hexadecanoic acid, 21) and a predominance of the C₁₈ monomers in the Agave americana cuticle (mainly the methyl derivative of the 9,10,18trihydroxyoctadecanoic acid, 30), in agreement with previously published data (Holloway, 1973; Espelié et al., 1982).




Fig. 1a.

Dihydroxyhexadecanoic acids are the most characteristic and dominant cutin monomers in plants and are usually found as a mixture of positional isomers, with the mid-chain hydroxyl found at either C-7, C-8, C-9 or C-10, with the latter two isomers being the most prevalent ones (Holloway and Deas, 1971). After TMAH/thermochemolysis, the different positional isomers produce the respective methyl derivatives, which under the chromatographic conditions used, coelute in the same chromatographic peak. However, the mass fragmen-

tation pattern of each positional isomer is different and therefore they can be easily detected and quantified by integration of the characteristic fragment ions in the GC–MS. The isomers that were detected in the samples studied were the methyl derivatives of the 8,16-, 9,16- and 10,16-dihydroxy-hexadecanoic acids. Figure 2 shows the structures and mass spectra of the different positional isomers. The characteristic fragment ions in their mass spectra represent cleavage α to the secondary methoxyl group giving a dimethoxy fragment (I) and a meth-




Fig. 1. Gas chromatograms of the TMAH/thermochemolysis products released from the selected samples. The peak numbers correspond to the compounds identified in Tables 1 and 2. Std: internal standard (*n*-eicosane).

oxy methyl ester fragment (II). The relative distribution of the different dihydroxyhexadecanoic acid isomers was calculated using the most intense fragment ions of the individual isomers in the GC-MS, assuming that the sensitivity was the same for each isomer. Table 3 shows the composition of the positional isomers of the methyl derivatives of the dihydroxyhexadecanoic acids in the different samples analyzed, which generally agrees with the relative compositional data published in the literature

(Holloway and Deas, 1971; Holloway, 1973; Baker et al., 1982; Espelié et al., 1982; Gérard et al., 1992). Among the different positional isomers of the dihydroxyhexadecanoic acids, the methyl derivative of the 10,16-dihydroxyhexadecanoic acid (21) was the most abundant in all the cutins and cuticles analyzed, except in the papaya fruit cutin, in which the methyl derivative of the 9,16-dihydroxyhexadecanoic acid methyl ester (20) was present almost exclusively. While in the tomato cutin, the methyl

Table 1. Composition (%) of the TMAH/thermochemolysis products released from the selected samples

cetanor-18-dioic acid dimethyl seter 0.7 0 0.6 case of dimethyl seter 0.7 0 0.0 0.0 cis 3-(4-methoxyphentyl-3-propenoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 1.4 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 </th <th>Label</th> <th>Compound</th> <th>Papaya fruit cutin</th> <th>Lime fruit cutin</th> <th>Apple fruit cuticle</th> <th>Paradise INSC hilum</th> <th>Paradise INSC Agave americana hilum cuticle</th>	Label	Compound	Papaya fruit cutin	Lime fruit cutin	Apple fruit cuticle	Paradise INSC hilum	Paradise INSC Agave americana hilum cuticle
Activation of the content of the c							
and control of solutions and dimethyl setter 14 1.3 2.2.4 1.0 cis 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)3-propenoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 1.4 decume-1 (Medioic acid dimethyl ester 0 0 0 0 1.4 decume-1 (Medioic acid dimethyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0 3.4.5-trimethoxybernexicatioxylic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0 3.4.5-trimethoxybernexicatioxylic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0 pertacted entity of set of methyl set of methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 1.4 1.4 0 0 bexadecanios acid methyl set of or methyl set of or methyl set of or methyl set of or methyl set or or methyl set or	1	octane-1,8-dioic acid dimethyl ester	0.7	0	3.0	9.0	0
cf. St. Actimuthoxy benzemenathoxy is add methyl ester 0 0.3 1.4 decare.1. Inducious and methyl ester 0 0.3 1.3 0.9 1.4 decare.1. Inducious and methyl ester 0 0.3 1.3 0.9 0.0 3.4. 4-timethoxy phenatyl)-3-propenoic acid methyl ester 0 0.4 0.0 0 3.4. 4-timethoxy phenatyl-3-propenoic acid methyl ester 0 0.4 0 0 A.4. 4-timethoxy phenyl-3-propenoic acid methyl ester 0 0.4 0 0 Pentadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 3.8 0.4 0 0 Characteranoic acid methyl ester 0 3.8 0 0 0 Incentroxy-lexadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 3.3 0.6 0 0 Incentroxy-lexadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.6 3.3 0.6 0 0 Incentroxy-lexadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.6 0.6 0.6 0 0 Incentroxy-lexadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.6 0.6 0.7 0.7 <	2	nonane-1,9-dioic acid dimethyl ester	1.4	1.3	22.4	1.0	0
3.4-dimentary extencent boxylis each methyl ester 0 0 0 0 decane.1. Il deficios acid dimethyl seter 0 1.2 0.8 0 attardecanois acid methyl seter 0 0.3 0 0 0 attardecanois acid methyl seter 0 0 0 0 0 attardecanois acid methyl seter 0 0 0 0 0 attardecanois acid methyl seter 0 0 0 0 0 attardecanois acid methyl seter 0 0 0 0 0 attardecanois acid methyl seter 0 0 0 0 0 branch boxylearodecanoic acid methyl seter 0 0 0 0 0 0 branch boxylearodecanoic acid methyl seter 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 condecade-aconic acid methyl seter 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Э	cis 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-propenoic acid methyl ester	0	0.3	6.0	1.4	0
decance I, the discis acid methyl ester 0 0.3 1.3 0 man 3 (4) methyl ester 0 0.3 1.3 0 4.5 -trimethoxyphenolyl-aptopenoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 3.4.5 -trimethoxyphenolyl-aptopenoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 pentadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 4.1 0 1.4 pentadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 cocaded-aconic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 1 Condition on acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 1 Condition on acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 1 Condition on acid methyl ester 1 0 0 0 1 Condition on acid methyl ester 1 0 0 0 0 1 Construction on acid methyl ester 1 0 0 0 0 2 Construction on acid methyl ester 2 2 1 0 0 3 Conded Scale and exid me	4	3,4-dimethoxybenzenecarboxylic acid methyl ester	0	0	0	0	0
trum 4.4 Charlebuty placemoic acid methyl ester 0 1.2 0.8 0 1.4.3.4 Charlebuty Placemoic acid methyl ester 0 0.2 0	5	decane-1,10-dioic acid dimethyl ester	0	0.3	1.3	0	0
terradezance acid methyl ester 20 0.3 0.0 0.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	9	trans 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-propenoic acid methyl ester	0	1.2	0.8	0	0
3.4.5-timethoxybraction-boxylic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 asi 4.5-timethoxybraction-boxylic acid methyl ester 0 0.4 0 0 pentadecannot acid methyl ester 0.0 0.4 0 0 pentadecannot acid methyl ester 0.0 0.0 0 0 decade-Senoto acid methyl ester 0.0 0 0 0 0 10-methoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 10-methoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.0 0.0 0 0 0 0 16-methoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.0 0.0 0 0 0 0 16-methoxy-lexadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0 0 16-methoxy-lexadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0 0 18-methoxy-catadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0 18-methoxy-methoxy beardecanoic acid methyl ester <td>7</td> <td>tetradecanoic acid methyl ester</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.3</td>	7	tetradecanoic acid methyl ester	2.0	0.3	0	0	0.3
circle 3.43.4 dimentaly plany) 1.3-3 propentio acid methyl ester 0 0.4 0 0 pent adecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0.4 0 0 0 proma 3.(3.4-dimentoxypheny)3-3 propentio acid methyl ester 0 0 0.4 0 0 coctadec3-benoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0 Incentboxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 1.8 0 0 0 0 0 Incentbox-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 2.6 9.3 1.2.1 3.6 0 0 Incentbox-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 2.6 9.3 7.3 10.4 0 Incentbox-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 2.6 9.3 7.3 10.4 0 Incentbox-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 3.3 9.5 0 0 0 Incentbox-octadeca-benoic acid methyl ester 2.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	∞	3,4,5-trimethoxybenzenecarboxylic acid methyl ester	0	0	0	0	0
pentadecanoic acid methyl ester acid methyl este	6	cis 3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-3-propenoic acid methyl ester	0	0.2	0	0	0
from SA (3.4. dimetholyphenyly-3-propenoic acid methyl ester 0 4.1 0 1.4 bera decanoic acid methyl ester 2.6 1.8 3.2 0.4 crade-5-enoic acid methyl ester 0 0 2.4 0 10-methoxy-lexadecanoic acid methyl ester 1.8 0.6 1.6 0 16-methoxy-lexadecanoic acid methyl ester 2.6 3.3 1.2.1 3.6 16-methoxy-lexadecanoic acid methyl ester 2.6 9.5 7.3 10.4 16-methoxy-lexadecanoic acid methyl ester 3.3 9.5 0 0 16-methoxy-lexadecanoic acid methyl ester 3.1 2.1 3.7 1.3 1.4 16-methoxy-catedecanoic acid methyl ester 3.3 9.5 0 0 18-methoxy-catedecanoic acid methyl ester 4.0 0 0 1.4 0 10.18-trimethoxy-catedecanoic acid methyl ester 2.7 0.5 1.3 1.4 0 10.18-trimethoxy-catedecanoic acid methyl ester 2.0 0 0 0 1.4 0	10	pentadecanoic acid methyl ester	0	0.4	0	0	0
hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 32.6 1.8 3.2 0.4 ocatade-9-enoic acid methyl ester 0 3.8 0.4 0 Ocatade-9-enoic acid methyl ester 1.8 0.6 1.6 0 16-methoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 3.2 1.2.1 3.6 1.5 0 16-methoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 2.6 9.5 3.3 0.1 0 16-methoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 2.6 9.5 3.3 0.0 0 16-methoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 2.6 9.5 3.3 0.0 0 18-methoxy-cadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 18-methoxy-cadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.3 1.4 0.0 10.16-trimethoxy-texadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 10.16-trimethoxy-cadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	11	trans 3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-3-propenoic acid methyl ester	0	4.1	0	1.4	0
Octadecy-bronic acid methyl ester 0 24 0 10-methoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 1.8 3.8 0 0 16-methoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 1.8 0.6 1.6 0 16-methoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 2.6 9.5 7.3 10.4 16-methoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 2.6 9.5 7.3 10.4 hexadecane1.1 G-dioic acid dimethyl ester 18.6 9.5 7.3 10.4 hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 2.6 9.5 7.3 10.4 18. Inc. 9.1 Cand IOL G-dioic acid dimethyl ester 7.3 3.7 1.3 10.4 18. Inc. 9.1 Cand IOL G-dioic acid dimethyl ester 7.3 3.7 1.3 1.4 0 18. meth.oxy-catadecanoic acid methyl ester 2.7 0.0 <	12	hexadecanoic acid methyl ester	32.6	1.8	3.2	0.4	0.7
10-methoxy-bexadecanoic acid methyl ester 18 18 18 10 10 10	13	octadec-9-enoic acid methyl ester	0	0	2.4	0	0
1.8 0.6 1.6 0.6	14	10-methoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester	0	3.8	0	0	0
16.methoxy-lexadecanoic acid methyl ester 3.2 12.8 12.1 3.6 16.methoxy-lexadecanoic acid methyl ester 2.6 3.9 0.1 16.methoxy-lexadecanoic acid methyl ester 2.6 3.3 3.3 10.4 16.methoxy-chexadecanoic acid methyl ester 3.1 2.1 0.7 0.7 17. 2.1 0.7 0.7 0.7 18.methoxy-catadec-9-enci acid methyl ester 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 18.methoxy-catadec-9-enci acid methyl ester 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 19.mix. of hydroxy-methoxy hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 19.mix. of hydroxy-methoxy hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 10.18-dimethoxy-catadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 10.18-dimethoxy-catadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 10.18-dimethoxy-catadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 10.18-dimethoxy-catadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 10.18-dimethoxy-catadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.7 0	15	octadecanoic acid methyl ester	1.8	9.0	1.6	0	8.0
	16	16-methoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester	3.2	12.8	12.1	3.6	9.4
becadecane-1, 16-dione acid dimethyl ester 2.6 9.5 7.3 10.4 Besadecane-1, 16-dione acid dimethyl ester 18.6 33.3 9.5 0 Beside and by 16-dimethoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 1.0 0 0 0 Be-methoxy-cotadec-9-enoic acid methyl ester 7.3 3.7 1.3 6.9 Mix. of Mytoxy-methoxy hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 5.8 0 4.4 0 9.10.16-trimethoxy hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 2.7 0.5 1.3 1.4 9.10.16-trimethoxy hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 2.7 0.5 1.3 1.4 Odocosanoic acid methyl ester 2.7 0.5 1.3 1.4 Di.18-dimethoxy octadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 2.10.12-dimethoxy octadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0 2.10.12-diocosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0 2.2-methoxy-costanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0	17	16-methoxy-10-oxo-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester	0	3.9	0	0	0
8, 16., 9, 16. and 10, 16-dimethoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 18.6 3.3 9.5 0 8, 16., 9, 16. and 10, 16-dimethoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 3.1 2.1 0 0 18-methoxy-octadec-9-enoic acid methyl ester 7.3 3.7 1.3 0 amixt. of hydroxy-methoxy hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 4.0 1.0 3.7 6.9 catadee-9-ene. I, 18-dioic acid dimethyl ester 5.8 0 0 1.1 0 dimethoxy-octadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 1.1 3.8 0 dimethoxy-octadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 <td>18</td> <td>hexadecane-1,16-dioic acid dimethyl ester</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>9.5</td> <td>7.3</td> <td>10.4</td> <td>0</td>	18	hexadecane-1,16-dioic acid dimethyl ester	2.6	9.5	7.3	10.4	0
Second control cacid methyl ester 3.1 2.1 0 0	19/20/21	8,16-, 9,16- and 10,16-dimethoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl esters	18.6	33.3	9.5	0	0.9
18-methoxy-octadec-9-enoic acid methyl ester 0 0 9.7 8.5 mixt. of hydroxy-methoxy hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 4.0 1.0 3.7 1.3 6.9 9,10,16-trimethoxy hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 5.8 0 4.4 0 9,10,16-trimethoxy bexadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 1.5 3.8 10,18-dimethyl exter 2.7 0.5 1.3 1.4 9,10,18-dimethyl exter 0 0 0 0 10,18-dimethyl exter 0 0 0 0 10,18-trimethoxy-octadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 10,12,18-trimethoxy-octadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 210,12,18-trimethoxy-octadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2-methoxy-decosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	22	eicosanoic acid methyl ester	3.1	2.1	0	0	0.7
mixt. of hydroxy-methoxy hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 7.3 3.7 1.3 0 octadee-9-ene-1,18-dioic acid dimethyl ester 4.0 1.0 3.7 6.9 9,10,16-trimethoxybexadecanoic acid methyl ester (tentatively) 0 0 1.5 3.8 10,18-dimethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 40,0.18-dimethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 40,0.19.Trimethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 21,0.12.18-trimethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 21,0.12.18-trimethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 22-methoxy-decosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 22-methoxy-decosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 24-methoxy-decosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 24-methoxy-tetracosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 24-methoxy-tetracosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0	23	18-methoxy-octadec-9-enoic acid methyl ester	0	0	6.7	8.5	0
cortadec-9-ene-1, 18-dioic acid dimethyl ester 4.0 1.0 3.7 6.9 9,10,16-trimethoxylexadecanoic acid methyl ester 5.8 0 4.4 0 0,10,18-dimethoxylexadecanoic acid methyl ester 2.7 0.5 1.3 1.4 0,10,18-dimethoxylexadecanoic acid methyl ester 2.7 0.5 1.3 1.4 9,10,18-trimethoxylexadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 10,12,18-terramethoxylexadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 22-methoxylexacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 22-methoxylexacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 22-methoxylexacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 24-methoxylexacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0 24-methoxylexacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 24-methoxylexacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 <t< td=""><td>24</td><td>mixt. of hydroxy-methoxy hexadecanoic acid methyl ester</td><td>7.3</td><td>3.7</td><td>1.3</td><td>0</td><td>1:1</td></t<>	24	mixt. of hydroxy-methoxy hexadecanoic acid methyl ester	7.3	3.7	1.3	0	1:1
9,10,16-trimethoxyhexadecanoic acid methyl ester 5.8 0 4.4 0 dimethoxybexadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 1.5 3.8 dov.Samic acid methyl ester 2.7 0.5 1.3 1.4 9,10,18-trimethoxy-octadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 9,10,18-trimethoxy-octadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 9,10,18-trimethoxycotadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 9,10,18-trimethoxy-octadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 9,10,18-trimethoxy-octadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 22-methoxy-docosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 Accosano-1,22-dioic acid dimethyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0 24-methoxy-terracosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0 25-methoxy-terracosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0 <t< td=""><td>25</td><td>octadec-9-ene-1,18-dioic acid dimethyl ester</td><td>4.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>3.7</td><td>6.9</td><td>0</td></t<>	25	octadec-9-ene-1,18-dioic acid dimethyl ester	4.0	1.0	3.7	6.9	0
dimethoxyocta decenoic acid methyl ester (entatively) 0 0 1.5 3.8 10.18-dimethoxyocta decenoic acid methyl ester 2.7 0.5 1.3 1.4 4.10.18-trimethoxy-octa decanoic acid methyl ester 2.2 0.5 0 0 9,10.12.18-terramethoxy-octa decanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 2.10.12.18-terramethoxyocta decanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 2.2 methox-decosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 2.2 methox-decosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2.2 decosanoic acid methyl ester 0	26	9,10,16-trimethoxyhexadecanoic acid methyl ester	5.8	0	4.4	0	2.6
10.1 8-dimethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 docosanoic acid methyl ester 2.7 0.5 1.3 1.4 docosanoic acid methyl ester 2.2 0.5 0 0 9.10,12,18-terramethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 9.10,12,18-terramethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 22-methoxy-docosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 22-methoxy-terracosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 24-methoxy-terracosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <td>27</td> <td>dimethoxyoctadecenoic acid methyl ester (tentatively)</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>3.8</td> <td>0</td>	27	dimethoxyoctadecenoic acid methyl ester (tentatively)	0	0	1.5	3.8	0
docosanoic acid methyl ester 2.7 0.5 1.3 1.4 9,10,18-trimethoxy-octadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 4,10,18-trimethoxy-octadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 22-methoxy-docosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 22-methoxy-docosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 docosane-1,22-dioic acid dimethyl ester 0 0 0 0 4-methoxy-tertacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 24-methoxy-tertacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 ctacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 ctacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 ctacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 ctacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 ctacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 ctacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 <	28	10,18-dimethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester	0	0	0	0	2.1
9,10,18-trimethoxy-octadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 3.7 0.8 etracosanoic acid methyl ester 2.2 0.5 0 0 22-methoxy-decosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0.8 22-methoxy-decosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0.8 docosane-1.22-dioic acid dimethyl ester 0 0 0 0 hexacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 cat-methoxy-tertarcosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 ctacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 dotriaconatanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0	29	docosanoic acid methyl ester	2.7	0.5	1.3	1.4	0
terracosanoic acid methyl ester 9,10,12,18-terramethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester 9,10,12,18-terramethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester 10,10,12,18-terramethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester 9,10,12,18-terramethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester 9,10,12,12,13-terramethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester 1,11,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,	30	9,10,18-trimethoxy-octadecanoic acid methyl ester	0	0	3.7	8.0	18.8
9,10,12,18-terramethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 1.1 0 0 12.7 22.methoxy-docosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0.8 4 docosane-1,22-dioic acid dimethyl ester 0.8 0.5 0 0 1.2 22.2 4-methoxy-tetracosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	31	tetracosanoic acid methyl ester	2.2	0.5	0	0	6.0
22-methoxy-docosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 15.7 hexacosanol methyl ether 0 0 0 0.8 docosane-1,22-dioic acid dimethyl ester 0.8 0.5 0 1.2 24-methoxy-tetracosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 ctacosanol methyl ether 0 0 0 0 1.1 1.6 0 0 0 ctacosanol methyl ether 0 0 0 0 ctacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 ctriacontanol methyl ether 0 0 0 0 dotriacontanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 dotriacontanoic acid methyl ester <td>32</td> <td>9,10,12,18-tetramethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1:1</td> <td>0</td> <td>5.0</td>	32	9,10,12,18-tetramethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester	0	0	1:1	0	5.0
hexacosanol methyl ether 0 0 0.8 decosane-1,22-dioic acid dimethyl ester 0 0 0 hexacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 22.2 0 0 0 24-methoxy-terracosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	33	22-methoxy-docosanoic acid methyl ester	0	0	0	15.7	0
decosane-1,22-dione acid dimethyl ester 0 0 0 22.2 hexacosanoic acid methyl ester 0.8 0.5 0 1.2 2-methoxy-tratacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 ctaccosanoic acid dimethyl ester 0 0 0 7.8 ctaccosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 criacontanol methyl ester 2.0 0 0 0 dotriacontanol methyl ester 0 0 0 0 dotriacontanol acid methyl ester 1.4 0.8 0 0 unknowns 6.7 15.5 8.8 5.3	34	hexacosanol methyl ether	0	0	0	0.8	4.6
hexacosanoic acid methyl ester 0.8 0.5 0 1.2 24-methoxy-tetracosanoic acid methyl ester 0	35	docosane-1,22-dioic acid dimethyl ester	0	0	0	22.2	0
24-methoxy-tetracosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 6.8 octacosanol methyl ether tetracosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 triacontanol methyl ester 1.1 1.6 0 0 triacontanol methyl ester 0 0 0 0 triacontanol caid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 driacontanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 dorriacontanoic acid methyl ester 1.4 0.8 0 0 unknowns 6.7 15.5 8.8 5.3	36	hexacosanoic acid methyl ester	8.0	0.5	0	1.2	1.2
octacosanol methyl ether 0 0 0 tetracosanol cacid dimethyl ester 0 0 0 riaccontanol methyl ester 1.1 1.6 0 0 riaccontanol methyl ester 2.0 0 0 0 riracontanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 dotriacontanoic acid methyl ester 1.4 0.8 0 0 unknowns 6.7 15.5 8.8 5.3	37	24-methoxy-tetracosanoic acid methyl ester	0	0	0	8.9	0
tetracosane-1,24-dioic acid dimethyl ester 0 0 7.8 octacosane-1,24-dioic acid imethyl ester 0 0 0 7.8 octacosanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	38	octacosanol methyl ether	0	0	0	0	15.2
octacosanoic acid methyl ester 1.1 1.6 0 0 triacontanol methyl ether 2.0 0 0 0 dotriacontanol methyl ester 0 0 0 0 dotriacontanolic acid methyl ester 1.4 0.8 0 0 unknowns 6.7 15.5 8.8 5.3	36	tetracosane-1,24-dioic acid dimethyl ester	0	0	0	7.8	0
triacontanol methyl ether 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	octacosanoic acid methyl ester	1.1	1.6	0	0	3.4
triacontanoic acid methyl ester 2.0 0 0 0 dotriacontanol methyl ester 0 0 0 0 dotriacontanoic acid methyl ester 1.4 0.8 0 0 unknowns 6.7 15.5 8.8 5.3	41	triacontanol methyl ether	0	0	0	0	7.1
dotriacontanol methyl ether 0 0 0 0 0 0 dotriacontanoic acid methyl ester 0 0 0 0 0 1.4 0.8 0 0 0 1.4 nknowns 6.7 15.5 8.8 5.3	42	triacontanoic acid methyl ester	2.0	0	0	0	5.9
detriacontanoic acid methyl ester 1.4 0.8 0 0 unknowns 6.7 15.5 8.8 5.3	£ :	dotriacontanol methyl ether	0 ;	0 0	0	0	9.9
0.7 5.3 8.8 5.3	4	dotriacontanoic acid methyl ester	4.1	8.0	0 0	o ;	6.2
		unknowns	0.7	15.5	8.8	5.3	10.4

Abundance Label Compound (%) 2 0.4 ± 0.07 nonane-1,9-dioic acid dimethyl ester 3 cis 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-propenoic acid methyl ester 0.8 ± 0.06 6 trans 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-propenoic acid methyl ester 2.8 ± 0.10 12 hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.5 ± 0.06 16-methoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 9.3 ± 1.40 16 hexadecane-1,16-dioic acid dimethyl ester 18 2.1 + 0.0519/20/21 8,16-, 9,16-, 10,16-dimethoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 72.5 ± 2.45 mixture of hydroxy-methoxy hexadecanoic acid methyl ester 5.7 ± 0.30 26 9,10,16-trimethoxyhexadecanoic acid methyl ester 1.0 ± 0.12 30 9,10,18-trimethoxy-octadecanoic acid methyl ester 0.4 ± 0.02 unknowns 4.5 + 0.05

Table 2. Composition (%) of the products released after TMAH/thermochemolysis of tomato cutin replicates

derivatives of the dihydroxyhexadecanoic acids account for nearby 70% of the total monomers, in lime cutin they comprise around 33% and papaya fruit cutin around 18%. Minor amounts were found in the cuticles of apple fruit and *Agave americana* (10% and 6% respectively). Some relatively low amounts of hydroxymethoxyhexadecanoic acid methyl ester, corresponding to partially methylated hydroxyl groups, were also identified as products, although in far lower amounts than in pyrolysis/ TMAH experiments (de Leeuw and Baas, 1993).

In contrast to dihydroxyhexadecanoic acids, dihydroxyoctadecanoic acids are not common components of cutins. However, the methyl derivative of the 10,18-dihydroxyoctadecanoic acid (28) could be identified after TMAH/thermochemolysis of the *Agave americana* cuticle, as also reported by other authors (Matic, 1956). A wide range of positional isomers (9,18-, 11,18- and 12,18-) were found to coelute in the same chromatographic peak, although in minor amounts, as demonstrated by their fragmentation pattern in the mass spectrometer. The main characteristic fragments are m/z 187 and 215 (10,18-), m/z 201 (9,18-), m/z 173 and 229 (11,18-) and m/z 159 and 243 (12,18-dimethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester).

Among the trihydroxy fatty acids, the most common are the trihydroxyoctadecanoic acids, in particular the 9,10,18-trihydroxyoctadecanoic acid. Its methyl derivative, the 9,10,18-trimethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester (30) was found as an important component of the TMAH/thermochemolysis products of apple fruit and Agave americana cuticles. Trihydroxyhexadecanoic acids, in particular the methyl derivative of the 9,10,16-trihydroxyhexadecanoic acid (26) was also present in minor amounts in the cutins of tomato fruit and in higher amounts in papaya fruit cutin, apple fruit cuticle and Agave americana cuticle. The methyl derivatives of more hydroxylated fatty acids, such as 9,10,12,18-tetramethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester (32), were also detected in the cuticles of apple fruit and Agave americana.

A series of fatty alcohol methyl ethers and fatty acid methyl esters up to C_{32} , with strong even car-

bon number predominances and maxima at C_{28} , were also produced from TMAH thermochemolysis of the cuticle of *Agave americana*. These compounds arise from the transesterification of the cuticular waxes.

The suberin sample selected for this study corresponds to the chalazal region of the inner seed coat of grapefruit. This sample yielded primarily the methyl derivatives of the ω -hydroxy fatty acids C_{16} , $C_{18:1}$, C_{22} and C_{24} , and their corresponding dicarboxylic acids. Minor amounts of the methyl derivatives of phenolic compounds (p-coumaric acid, 3 and ferulic acid, 9, 10) were also detected, as well as minor amounts of 9,10,18-trimethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester (30). The distribution of the monomeric constituents is in agreement with previously published work using depolymerization with LiAlH₄ and LiAlD₄ (Espelié $et\ al.$, 1980).

It is known that epoxy fatty acids usually occur as major monomers in some cutins (Holloway and Deas, 1973). The use of LiAlH₄ reduction or methanolysis shows that large amounts of 9,10-epoxy-18hydroxy octadecanoic acid occurs among the products of depolymerization of apple fruit and Agave americana cuticles (Kolattukudy et al., 1971; Walton and Kolattukudy, 1972; Holloway and Deas, 1973; Espelié et al., 1982). However, no epoxy fatty acids could be identified after TMAH/ thermochemolysis. While the method does not affect most monomeric units, the epoxy fatty acids seem to be converted to other compounds. To confirm whether the epoxy group is destroyed during the procedure, an epoxy fatty acid standard, the 9,10epoxyhexadecanoic acid methyl ester, was subjected to the TMAH/thermochemolysis procedure. It was found that the 9,10-epoxy group is mainly converted to a 9,10-dimethoxy group, with minor amounts of partially methylated hydroxy-fatty acids. Therefore, we would expect a compound such as 18-hydroxy-9,10-epoxyoctadecanoic acid to be mainly converted to the 9,10,18-trimethoxyoctadecanoic acid methyl ester upon treatment with TMAH, and so, the concentration of this compound is likely to reflect the contents of both epoxides and ether-linked components in the aliphatic




Fig. 2. Structures and mass spectra of the different dimethoxyhexadecanoic acid methyl ester isomers.

Table 3. Composition (%) of the different positional isomers of dimethoxyhexadecanoic acid methyl ester occurring in the different samples

		Papaya fruit cutin	Lime fruit cutin	Apple fruit cuticle	Agave americana cuticle	Tomato cutin
19	8,16-dimethoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester	1	3	5	18	6
20	9,16-dimethoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester	98	13	16	10	8
21	10,16-dimethoxy-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester	1	84	79	72	86

biopolymers examined. The presence of epoxy fatty acids in the structure of cutins may be biased by the use of TMAH/thermochemolysis.

CONCLUSIONS

The TMAH/thermochemolysis has been used as a relatively simple analytical approach to characterize a series of aliphatic biopolyesters such as cuticles, cutin and suberin, isolated from different plants. The methyl derivatives of long-chain fatty acids, hydroxylated fatty acids and dicarboxylic acids were the main compounds identified, and these correspond to the methyl derivatives of the corresponding monomeric constituents of the aliphatic biopolyesters. The distribution of these compounds is similar to that reported in the literature using different depolymerization methods. This procedure avoids the laborious and time-consuming sample preparation of conventional extractive methods. The technique is rapid and sensitive, and does not require the use of sophisticated equipments such as a pyrolysis unit. Also, and in contrast to the pyrolysis/TMAH, the TMAH/thermochemolysis in glass tubes allows for the use of internal standards, and thus the quantitation of the products released. Another advantage is that it avoids the losses of hydroxy-substituted fatty acids and the subsequent formation of unsaturated moieties in the pyrolysis. However, while the method does not affect most monomers, the epoxy groups are largely converted to other compounds and cannot be detected by TMAH/thermochemolysis.

Acknowledgements—The authors would like to thank Dr Karl Espelié for kindly providing the samples selected for this study. Dr Jackie Bortiatynski and Mrs. Eder Pascual are also acknowledged for their technical assistance. Financial support from NSF OCE-9563257 is also acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, K. B. and Winans, R. E. (1991) The nature and fate of natural resins in the geosphere, I. Evaluation of pyrolysis–gas chromatography–mass spectrometry for the analysis of natural resins and resinites. *Analytical Chemistry* **63**, 2901–2908.
- Baker, E. A. and Holloway, P. J. (1970) The constituent acids of angiosperm cutins. *Phytochemistry* **9**, 1557–1562.
- Baker, E. A. and Procopiou, J. (1975) The cuticles of Citrus species: composition of the intracuticular lipids of leaves and fruits. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* **26**, 1347–1352.
- Baker, E. A., Bukovac, M. J. and Hunt, G. M. (1982) Composition of tomato fruit cuticle as related to fruit growth and development. In *The Plant Cuticle*, eds. D. F. Cutler, K. L. Alvin and C. E. Price, pp. 33–44. Academic Press, New York.
- Butte, W. J. (1983) Rapid method for the determination of fatty acid profiles from fats and oils using trimethyl-

- sulphonium hydroxide for transesterification. *Journal of Chromatography* **261**, 142–145.
- Challinor, J. M. (1989) A pyrolysis-derivatization-gas chromatograph technique for the elucidation of some synthetic polymers. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 16, 323–333.
- Challinor, J. M. (1991a) Structure determination of alkyd resins by simultaneous pyrolysis/methylation. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 18, 233–244.
- Challinor, J. M. (1991b) The scope of pyrolysis/methylation reactions. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* **20**, 15–24.
- Challinor, J. M. (1995) Comment on the mechanism of high temperature reaction of quaternary ammonium hydroxides with polymers. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* **29**, 223–224.
- Challinor, J. M. (1996) A rapid simple pyrolysis-derivatisation-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry method for profiling of fatty acids in trace quantities of lipids. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 37, 185–197.
- Chiavari, G., Torsi, G., Fabbri, G. and Galletti, G. C. (1994) Comparative study of humic substances in soil using pyrolytic techniques and other conventional chromatographic methods. *Analyst* 119, 1141–1150.
- Clifford, D. J. and Hatcher, P. G. (1995) Maturation of class Ib (Polylabdanoid) resinites. In *Amber, Resinite and Fossil Resins*, eds. K. B. Anderson and J. C. Crelling, pp. 92–104. ACS Symposium Series 617, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC.
- Clifford, D. J., Carson, D. M., McKinney, D. E., Bortiatynski, J. M. and Hatcher, P. G. (1995) A new rapid technique for the characterization of lignin in vascular plants: thermochemolysis with tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH). Organic Geochemistry 23, 169–175.
- Deas, A. H. B., Baker, E. A. and Holloway, P. J. (1974) Identification of 16-hydroxyoxohexadecanoic acid monomers in plant cutins. *Phytochemistry* **13**, 1901–
- Dworzanski, J. P., Berwald, L. and Meuzelaar, H. L. C. (1990) Pyrolytic methylation–gas chromatography of whole bacterial cells for rapid profiling of cellular fatty acids. *Applied and Environmental Microbiololy* 56, 1717–1724.
- Dworzanski, J. P., Berwald, L., McClennen, W. H. and Meuzelaar, H. L. C. (1991) Mechanistic aspects of the pyrolytic methylation and transesterificatio of bacterial cell wall lipids. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 21, 221–232.
- Espelié, K. E., Dean, B. B. and Kolattukudy, P. E. (1979) Composition of lipid-derived polymers from different anatomical regions of several plant species. *Plant Physiology* **64**, 1089–1093.
- Espelié, K. E., Davis, R. W. and Kolattukudy, P. E. (1980) Composition, ultrastructure and function of the cutinand suberin-containing layers in the leaf, fruit peel, juice sac and inner seed coat of grapefruit (*Citrus paradise* Macfed.). *Planta* **149**, 498–511.
- Espelié, K. E., Wattendorf, J. and Kolattukudy, P. E. (1982) Composition and ultrastructure of the suberized cell wall of isolated crystal idioblasts from *Agave americana* L. leaves. *Planta* 155, 166–175.
- Espelié, K. E., Köller, W. and Kolattukudy, P. E. (1983) 9,16-dihydroxy-10-oxo-hexadecanoic acid, a novel component in *Citrus* cutin. *Chemistry and Physics of Lipids* 32, 13–36.
- Fabbri, D., Chiavari, G. and Galletti, G. C. (1996) Characterization of soil humin by pyrolysis (/methyl-ation)/gas chromatography/mass spectrometry: structural relationships with humic acids. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 37, 161–172.

- Gérard, H. C., Moreau, R. A., Fett, W. F. and Osman, S. F. (1992) Separation and quantification of hydroxy and epoxy fatty acids by high performance liquid chromatography with an evaporite light-scattering detector. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society* 69, 301–304.
- González-Vila, F. J., del Río, J. C., Martín, F. and Verdejo, T. (1996) Pyrolytic alkylation—gas chromatography—mass spectrometry of model polymers: further insights into the mechanism and scope of the technique. *Journal of Chromatography* **750**, 155–160.
- Goñi, M. A. and Hedges, J. I. (1990) Potential applications of cutin-derived CuO reaction products for discriminating vascular plant sources in natural environments. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 54, 3073–3083.
- Hatcher, P. G. and Clifford, D. J. (1994) Flash pyrolysis and in situ methylation of humic acids from soil. Organic Geochemistry 21, 1081–1092.
- Hatcher, P. G., Nanny, M. A., Minard, R. D., Dible, S. C. and Carson, D. M. (1995) Comparison of two thermochemolytic methods for the analysis of lignin in decomposing gymnosperm wood: the CuO oxidation method and the method of thermochemolysis with tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH). Organic Geochemistry 23, 881–888.
- Holloway, P. J. (1982) The chemical constitution of plant cutins. In *The Plant Cuticle*, eds. D. F. Cutler, K. L. Alvin and C. E. Price, pp. 45–85. Academic Press, New York.
- Holloway, P. J. (1973) Cutins of *Malus pumila* fruits and leaves. *Phytochemistry* 12, 2913–2920.
- Holloway, P. J. and Deas, A. H. B. (1971) Occurrence of positional isomers of dihydroxyhexadecanoic acid in plant cutins and suberins. *Phytochemistry* 10, 2781– 2785.
- Holloway, P. J. and Deas, A. H. B. (1973) Epoxyoctadecanoic acids in plant cutins and suberins. *Phytochemistry* **12**, 1721–1735.
- Holzer, G., Bourne, T. F. and Bertsch, W. (1989) Analysis of in situ methylated microbial fatty acid constituents by Curie-point pyrolysis–gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography 468, 181–190.
- Kolattukudy, P. E. (1978) Chemistry and biochemistry of the aliphatic components of suberin. In *Biochemistry of Wounded Plant Tissues*, ed. G. de Kahl, pp. 43–84. De Gruyter, Berlin.
- Kolattukudy, P. E. (1980) Biopolyester membranes of plants: cutin and suberin. Science 208, 990–1000.
- Kolattukudy, P. E. (1984) Biochemistry and function of cutin and suberin. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 62, 2918–2933.
- Kolattukudy, P. E., Walton, T. J. and Kushwaha, R. P. S. (1971) Epoxy acids in the lipid polymer cutin and their role in the biosynthesis of cutin. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 42, 739–744.
- Kralert, P. G., Alexander, R. and Kagi, R. I. (1995) An investigation of polar constituents in kerogen and coal using pyrolysis–gas chromatography–mass spectrometry with *in situ* methylation. *Organic Geochemistry* **23**, 627–639
- de Leeuw, J. W. and Baas, W. (1993) The behaviour of esters in the presence of tetramethylammonium salts at elevated temperatures: flash pyrolysis or flash chemolysis? *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* **26**, 175–184
- Martín, F., González-Vila, F. J., del Río, J. C. and Verdejo, T. (1994) Pyrolysis derivatization of humic substances, 1. Pyrolysis of fulvic acids in the presence of tetramethylammonium hydroxide. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 28, 71–80.

- Martín, F., del Río, J. C., González-Vila, F. J. and Verdejo, T. (1995a) Pyrolysis derivatization of humic substances, 2. Pyrolysis of soil humic acids in the presence of tetramethylammonium hydroxide. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 31, 75–83.
- Martín, F., del Río, J. C., González-Vila, F. J. and Verdejo, T. (1995b) Thermally assisted hydrolysis and alkylation of lignins in the presence of tetra-alkylammonium hydroxides. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 35, 1–13.
- Matic, M. (1956) The chemistry of plant cuticles: a study of cutin from *Agave americana* L. *The Biochemical Journal* **63**, 168–176.
- McKinney, D. E. and Hatcher, P. G. (1996) Characterization of peatified and coalified wood by tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH) thermochemolysis. *International Journal of Coal Geology* 32, 217– 228.
- McKinney, D. E., Carson, D. M., Clifford, D. J., Minard, R. D. and Hatcher, P. G. (1995) Off-line thermochemolysis vs flash pyrolysis for the *in situ* methylation of lignins: is pyrolysis necessary? *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 34, 41–56.
- McKinney, D. E., Bortiatynsky, J. M., Carson, D. M., Clifford, D. J., de Leeuw, J. W. and Hatcher, P. G. (1996) Tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH) thermochemolysis of the aliphatic biopolymer cutan: insights to its chemical structure. *Organic Geochemistry* **24**, 641–650.
- Opsahl, S. and Benner, R. (1995) Early diagenesis of vascular plant tissues: lignin and cutin decomposition and biogeochemical implications. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **59**, 4889–4904.
- Ray, A. K., Lin, Y. Y., Gérard, H. C., Chen, Z.-J., Osman, S. F., Fett, W. F., Moreau, R. A. and Stark, R. E. (1995) Separation and identification of lime cutin monomers by high performance liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry. *Phytochemistry* **38**, 1361–1369.
- del Río, J. C., González-Vila, F. J., Martín, F. and Verdejo, T. (1994) Characterization of humic acids from low rank coals by ¹³C-NMR and pyrolysis/methylationz: formation of benzenecarboxylic acid moieties during coalification process. *Organic Geochemistry* 22, 885–891.
- del Río, J. C., Martín, F. and González-Vila, F. J. (1996a) Thermally assisted hydrolysis and alkylation as a novel pyrolytic approach for the structural characterization of natural biopolymers and geomacromolecules. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry* **15**, 70–79.
- del Río, J. C., González-Vila, F. J., Martín, F. and Verdejo, T. (1996b) Chemical structural investigation of asphaltenes and kerogens by pyrolysis/methylation. *Organic Geochemistry* **23**, 1009–1022.
- Saiz-Jiménez, C. (1994a) Analytical pyrolysis of humic substances: pitfalls, limitations and possible solutions. Environmental Science and Technology 28, 1773–1780.
- Saiz-Jiménez, C. (1994b) Pyrolysis/methylation of soil fulvic acids: benzenecarboxylic acids revisited. Environmental Science and Technology 28, 197–200.
- Saiz-Jiménez, C., Hermosín, B. and Ortega-Calvo, J. J. (1993) Pyrolysis/methylation: a method for structural elucidation of the chemical nature of aquatic humic substances. Water Research 27, 1693–1696.
- Saiz-Jiménez, C., Ortega-Calvo, J. J. and Hermosín, B. (1994) Conventional pyrolysis: a biased technique for providing structural information on humic substances? *Naturwissenschaften* 81, 28–29.
- Schulten, H.-R. and Sorge, C. (1995) Pyrolysis/methylation–mass spectrometry of whole soils. *European Journal of Soil Science* **46**, 567–579.
- Schulten, H.-R., Leinweber, P. and Theg, B. K. G. (1996) Characterization of organic matter in an

interlayer clay-organic complex from soil by pyrolysis/methylation-mass spectrometry. *Geoderma* **69**, 105–118.

Walton, T. J. (1990) Waxes, cutin and suberin. *Methods in Plant Biochemistry* **4**, 105–158.

Walton, T. J. and Kolattukudy, P. E. (1972) Determination of the structure of cutin monomers by a novel depolymerization procedure and combined gas chromatography and mass spectrometry. *Biochemistry* 11, 1885–1897.